

A spectral analogue of the Meinardus theorem on asymptotics of the number of partitions

Tatsuya Tate*

Graduate School of Mathematics

Nagoya University

Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku,

Nagoya, 464-8602, Japan

Email: tate@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Abstract

We discuss asymptotics of the number of states of Boson gas whose Hamiltonian is given by a positive elliptic pseudo-differential operator of order one on a compact manifold. We obtain an asymptotic formula for the average of the number of states. Furthermore, when the operator has integer eigenvalues and the periodic orbits of period less than 2π of the classical mechanics form clean submanifolds of lower dimensions, we give an asymptotic formula for the number of states itself. This is regarded as an analogue of the Meinardus theorem on asymptotics of the number of partitions of a positive integer. We use the Meinardus saddle point method of obtaining the asymptotics of the number of partitions, combined with a theorem due to Duistermaat-Guillemin and other authors on the singularities of the trace of the wave operators.

1 Introduction

The subject on asymptotics of the number of partitions $p(E)$ of a positive integer E is one of the main topics in classical analytic number theory, and there are many results in this area since the celebrated work of Hardy-Ramanujan ([HR2]) appeared. As a simplest form of their theorem, one has

$$p(E) \sim \frac{1}{4E\sqrt{3}} e^{\pi(\frac{2E}{3})^{1/2}}, \quad E \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1)$$

There are many other works on the asymptotics of $p(E)$ or its variants. For example, after Hardy-Ramanujan's work, Rademacher ([Ra]) gives an expansion of $p(E)$ in a convergent series. See [An], [EL], [Ri1], [Ri2] for some of them.

*Research partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 18740089, No. 21740117).

The problem which we are going to address here is on asymptotic behavior of the number of states of Boson gas which is, as in the title, regarded as a spectral analogue of the number of partitions.

In this section, we explain the problem which we consider in this paper, and we state the main results after we give some accounts on the motivation for the problem. The method we use to obtain asymptotics of the number of states of Boson gas is Meinardus' saddle point method ([An], [Me]) for obtaining asymptotic formula of the number of partitions. This method has some applications to mathematical physics, such as finding asymptotic growth of the state density for p -branes ([Ac], [BKZ], [EORBZ]). We review, also in this section, Meinardus' theorem and explain roughly the method.

1.1 A problem in quantum statistics

Let P be a first order strictly positive elliptic pseudo-differential operator of order one on a compact connected smooth manifold M of dimension n with principal symbol $0 < p \in C^\infty(T^*M \setminus 0)$. For each positive integer N , consider the operator

$$P^N = \sum_{i=1}^N I \otimes \cdots \otimes I \otimes P^{(i)} \otimes I \otimes \cdots \otimes I \quad (2)$$

on the L^2 -space $L^2(M^N)$ on the N -fold product space $M^N = M \times \cdots \times M$ (N -times). We have the natural action of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_N$ on $L^2(M^N)$, and this action commutes with the operator P^N . Therefore, the operator P^N can be restricted to the symmetric and anti-symmetric subspaces $\mathcal{H}_S^N, \mathcal{H}_A^N$ (see Section 2 for the precise definition). The restrictions $P_B^N = P^N|_{\mathcal{H}_S^N}$ and $P_F^N = P^N|_{\mathcal{H}_A^N}$ are regarded as the Hamiltonian for the system of N -particles consisting of Boson and Fermion, respectively, each particle of which is dominated by the Hamiltonian P , and it is assumed that there are no interaction among each particle. In this paper, we restrict our attention to the systems of Boson. Let $\text{Spec}(P_B^N)$ denote the spectrum of the Bose Hamiltonian, P_B^N . It is easy to see that $\text{Spec}(P_B^N) = \text{Spec}(P^N)$, and hence $\text{Spec}(P_B^N)$ consists of eigenvalues of finite multiplicities. But the multiplicities of each eigenvalues of the Bose Hamiltonian P_B^N are different from that of P^N . Denote the multiplicity of the eigenvalue $E \in \text{Spec}(P_B^N)$ by $\Omega(N, E)$. The quantity $\Omega(N, E)$ is naturally regarded as a number of states of N -Boson system in the energy E .

Natural question here is to find asymptotic formulas for $\Omega(N, E)$ when E and N get large and E and N are related by a relation, like $E/N \sim \text{const.}$ But, it seems to be difficult to find them. Thus, it would be also natural to consider the asymptotics as $N \rightarrow \infty$ of the density of states, which in this case would be given by

$$\sum_{E' \in \text{Spec}(P_B^N)} \Omega(N, E') \delta_{E'} \quad \text{or more specifically} \quad \sum_{E' \in [E, E+e_N]} \Omega(N, E')$$

with a suitable sequence $e_N > 0$, however it is not so transparent how to choose e_N . These two problems would be rather natural in physical points of view, because it is important to consider systems consisting of large but finite number of particles. Although there are some works (see [Ec]) in this direction, it seems to be hard to resolve.

Thus, the next natural quantity to consider is to take an “average” of the quantity $\Omega(N, E)$ in the number of particles, N . So, we define

$$\Omega(E) = \sum_{N \geq 1} \Omega(N, E), \quad E \in \Gamma(P), \quad \Omega(0) = 1, \quad (3)$$

where we set

$$\Gamma(P) = \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{N \geq 1} \text{Spec}(P_B^N) \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}. \quad (4)$$

It is easy to see that the set $\Gamma(P)$ is discrete subset of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ without finite accumulation points and the sum in (3) is finite for each fixed $E \in \Gamma(P)$. We call the quantity $\Omega(E)$ the *number of states of Boson gas*. Then our problem is to find asymptotic formulas for $\Omega(E)$ as energy E getting large.

Before stating our result on the asymptotics of $\Omega(E)$, we should mention why this kind of problem can be regarded as an analogue of the asymptotics of the number of partitions $p(E)$ for positive integer E . To explain it, consider the generating function G_P of the quantity $\Omega(E)$:

$$G_P(\tau) = \sum_{E \in \Gamma(P)} \Omega(E) e^{-E\tau} \quad (5)$$

which is called the *grand partition function* for Boson gas. Note that the usual grand partition function has one more parameter, called chemical potential. The chemical potential plays an important and essential role in the usual setting-up for analyzing, for example, the Bose-Einstein condensation. The main reason for removing the chemical potential is that we assumed here that the operator P is strictly positive, and so a phenomenon like Bose-Einstein condensation does not occur.

Now it is easy to explain the relation between the number of states $\Omega(E)$ of Boson gas defined for $E \in \Gamma(P)$ and the number of partitions $p(E)$ for positive integers E . Namely, we have the following:

$$G_P(\tau) = \prod_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-\lambda_{\ell}\tau})^{-1}, \quad (6)$$

where $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$ denotes the eigenvalues of P counted repeatedly according to their multiplicities (see Lemma 2.3). Thus, if $\lambda_{\ell} = \ell$ for each ℓ and the multiplicities of the eigenvalues are all one, then $\Omega(E)$ is nothing but the number of partitions.

We mention here about the motivation to address the problem stated in the above. One of main motivations comes from the paper [TZ] on the various aspects of asymptotics of the multiplicities $m_N(\lambda, \mu)$ of the weights μ in the tensor power $V_{\lambda}^{\otimes N}$ of a fixed irreducible representation V_{λ} with the dominant weight λ of a compact Lie group. By the Borel-Weil theorem, the irreducible representation V_{λ} can be realized as the space $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}, L_{\lambda})$ of holomorphic sections of a suitable line bundle L_{λ} over the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$ through λ . Then, as a representation, $V_{\lambda}^{\otimes N}$ is naturally identified with $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}^N, L_{\lambda}^{\boxtimes N})$, where $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}^N$ is the N -times product space of the coadjoint orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$ and $L_{\lambda}^{\boxtimes N}$ is the N -th external tensor power of the line bundle L_{λ} . In this point of view, it would be natural to think $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$ as a classical phase space of a particle, and hence $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}^N$ would be regarded as a phase space of a system of N -particles. Then, the multiplicities $m_N(\lambda, \mu)$ would be regarded as the number of

stationary states for the action of the maximal torus. Therefore, the problem on the number of states $\Omega(E)$ of Boson gas would be regarded as an analogue of the multiplicities $m_N(\lambda, \mu)$ in this context. (More precisely, one should consider the multiplicities in the symmetric tensor power $\text{Sym}^N(V_\lambda)$ for a complete analogy of ‘Boson’ gas.)

1.2 Results

First of all, we state a theorem which is valid without any assumption on the eigenvalues of P . In such a general case, it seems to be still hard to obtain the asymptotics of the quantity $\Omega(E)$. Thus, we take its average. Define the quantity $D(E)$ by

$$D(E) := \sum_{L \in \Gamma(P) \cap [0, E]} \Omega(L). \quad (7)$$

THEOREM 1 *We have*

$$D(E) = e^{B_n E^{\frac{n}{n+1}(1+o(1))}}, \quad \Gamma(P) \ni E \rightarrow \infty, \quad (8)$$

where the constant B_n is given by

$$B_n = (n+1) \left(\frac{\text{Vol}(\Sigma)}{(2\pi n)^n} \zeta(n+1) \Gamma(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}. \quad (9)$$

In particular, we have

$$\lim_{\Gamma(P) \ni E \rightarrow \infty} E^{-\frac{n}{n+1}} \log D(E) = B_n. \quad (10)$$

In Theorem 1, we do not need any assumption on the spectrum of P nor classical mechanics. One may think Theorem 1 as an analogue of the usual Weyl asymptotic formula for the operator P itself.

To state our next results, let us prepare some notation. Let

$$Z_P(s) = \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \lambda_\ell^{-s}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C} \quad (11)$$

denote the spectral zeta function. It is well-known ([DG], [Sh]) that the series $Z_P(s)$ converges absolutely on the right-half plane $\text{Re}(s) > n$, and it is meromorphically continued to the whole complex plane. The poles of the function $Z_P(s)$ are located at

$$s = n, n-1, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, \quad (12)$$

and it is holomorphic at $s = 0$. We set

$$K_j = A_j \zeta(n-j+1) \Gamma(n-j), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \quad A_j = \text{Res}_{s=n-j} Z_P(s), \quad (13)$$

where ζ and Γ denote the Riemann zeta function and the Gamma function, respectively. For example, we have $A_0 = (2\pi)^{-n} \text{Vol}(\Sigma)$ with $\Sigma = p^{-1}(1) \subset T^*M \setminus 0$ being a level surface of the principal symbol p and $\text{Vol}(\Sigma)$ being the volume of Σ with respect to the Liouville measure. Thus, we always have $K_0 > 0$, but, in general, K_j could be non-positive (but it is real). Let $\varphi_t : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ denote the Hamilton flow generated by p (restricted to Σ).

THEOREM 2 *Assume that the eigenvalues of P are integers. Assume also that, for each period T with $0 < T \leq \pi$ of a periodic orbit of the classical Hamilton flow φ_t , the fixed point set of φ_T is a union of finite number of connected submanifolds and each submanifold is clean and of dimension strictly less than $\dim \Sigma = 2n - 1$. Then, we have the following asymptotic formula:*

$$\Omega(E) = CE^\kappa \exp[x_E^{-n} f(x_E)](1 + O(E^{-\kappa_1})), \quad (14)$$

where the positive constant x_E depending on the energy E satisfies

$$x_E = \left(\frac{nK_0}{E} \right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} (1 + O(E^{-\frac{1}{n+1}})) \quad (15)$$

(precise definition of the positive number x_E is given in Section 4), and the function $f(x)$ on the positive real numbers is a polynomial given by

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (n-j+1)K_j x^j. \quad (16)$$

The constants C , κ and κ_1 in (14) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \frac{1}{\det(P)\sqrt{2\pi(n+1)}} (nK_0)^{\frac{1-2Z_P(0)}{2(n+1)}}, \\ \kappa &= \frac{Z_P(0)-1-n/2}{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\det(P)$ is the zeta regularized determinant of P and the constant κ_1 is any number satisfying $0 < \kappa_1 < \frac{1}{n+1}$ when $n \geq 2$, and $0 < \kappa_1 < 1/4$ when $n = 1$.

Recall that a submanifold Z in Σ of fixed points of a diffeomorphism $\varphi : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is said to be clean ([DG]) if, for each $z \in Z$, the set of fixed points of the differential $d\varphi_z : T_z \Sigma \rightarrow T_z \Sigma$ equals the tangent space of Z at z . A reason why we assume a cleanness condition for the classical Hamilton flow in Theorem 2 is that we need to use a theorem in [DG] on singularities of the trace of the wave operator e^{-itP} . See Sections 1.4, 3.2.

In Theorem 2, the assumption that the eigenvalues of P are integers implies that the classical Hamilton flow φ_t on T^*M is periodic with common period 2π . This follows from Egorov's theorem. But, there might be other period less than 2π . The assumption that the fixed point set of φ_T for a period T of a periodic orbit is of dimension strictly less than $2n - 1$ implies that 2π is the least common period of the flow. There is a technical reason why common periods less than 2π are excluded. See Section 3.2.

One of examples of the operator P satisfying the assumption in Theorem 2 is the operator $P = \sqrt{\Delta_{\text{can}} + (n-1)^2/4} + (n-1)/2$ with the standard Laplacian Δ_{can} on the n -sphere.

Note also that, according to a result of Colin de Verdière ([CdV]), if the classical flow is periodic with the least common period 2π , and the square P^2 of the operator P is a differential operator, then there is a constant α and a pseudo-differential operator Q of order -1 such that the eigenvalues of the operator $P + Q - \alpha/4$ are integers. Therefore, we can apply Theorem 2 for these systems if the cleanness assumption is satisfied.

Compared with the usual Weyl asymptotic formula for one particle, the asymptotic formula for the number of states $\Omega(E)$ of Boson gas is rather complicated. In particular, all the positive poles affects the exponent of the asymptotics whereas only the largest pole affects in the usual Weyl asymptotic formula. As is shown in Section 4, the positive constant x_E satisfies the estimate (15). Therefore, if one takes the logarithm and then the limit to reduce information, one obtain the following.

COROLLARY 3 *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 2, we have*

$$\lim_{\Gamma(P) \ni E \rightarrow \infty} E^{-\frac{n}{n+1}} \log \Omega(E) = B_n, \quad (17)$$

where the constant B_n is given in (9).

Corollary 3 is basically obtained by Knopp ([Kn]), where the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ of positive integers is assumed to be strictly increasing, that is, all the eigenvalues of the operator P are of multiplicity one. Thus, one can think Corollary 3 as a tiny generalization of Knopp's result. One might expect that the limit formula (17) might hold for general system without the assumption that the eigenvalues of P are integers. However, in general, since $\Omega(E)$ does not have monotonicity in E , it might be hard to obtain it.

In Theorem 2, the positive constant x_E is defined as a zero of a polynomial determined by the residues of the positive poles of the spectral zeta function. Thus, it is not so transparent. However, when $n = \dim M = 2$, it is replaced by a more concrete constant y_E as follows.

THEOREM 4 *Let $n = \dim M = 2$. Then, under the same assumption in Theorem 2, we have the following.*

$$\Omega(E) = CE^{\frac{Z_P(0)-2}{3}} \exp \left[\frac{3K_0}{y_E^2} + \frac{K_1}{y_E} - \frac{K_1^2}{12K_0} \right] (1 + O(E^{-\kappa_1}))$$

for any $0 < \kappa_1 < 1/3$, where the constants C and y_E is given by

$$y_E = \left(\frac{\zeta(3)\text{Vol}(\Sigma)}{2\pi^2 E} \right)^{1/3}, \quad C = \frac{1}{\det(P)\sqrt{6\pi}} \left(\frac{\zeta(3)\text{Vol}(\Sigma)}{2\pi^2} \right)^{(1-2Z_P(0))/6}.$$

1.3 Meinardus' theorem

Among results on asymptotics of the number of partitions, a theorem due to Meinardus [Me] gives a natural generalization of the above formula (1) of Hardy-Ramanujan. Let us state a result of Meinardus here. Let $\{a_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of non-negative numbers (not necessarily integers) such that the infinite product

$$G(\tau) = \prod_{\ell \geq 1} (1 - e^{-\ell\tau})^{-a_\ell}$$

converges locally uniformly for $\text{Re}(\tau) > 0$. Then the infinite product $G(\tau)$ defines a holomorphic function on the right-half plane. Thus we can consider the Taylor expansion of the function $G(z) = G(\tau)$ ($z = e^{-\tau}$) at $z = 0$:

$$G(z) = 1 + \sum_{E=1}^{\infty} r(E)z^E, \quad z = e^{-\tau}, \quad \text{Re}(\tau) > 0.$$

The Meinardus theorem is an asymptotic formula for the coefficients $r(E)$ as $E \rightarrow \infty$. When $a_n = 1$ for all n , usual number of partitions $p(E)$ coincides with the quantity $r(E)$. Associated to the sequence $\{a_n\}$ is the Dirichlet series

$$L(s) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\ell}}{\ell^s}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (18)$$

To state the Meinardus theorem, we need the following assumptions:

- (1) There exists a positive number α such that $L(s)$ converges for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \alpha$.
- (2) There exists a number C_0 such that $0 < C_0 < 1$ and the Dirichlet series $L(s)$ is continued meromorphically on the set $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq -C_0$.
- (3) The function $L(s)$ has just one pole at $s = \alpha$ in $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq -C_0$, and it is simple with residue $A = \operatorname{Res}_{s=\alpha} L(s) > 0$.
- (4) There exists a number $R > 0$ such that $|L(s)| = O(|\operatorname{Im}(s)|^R)$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq -C_0$.
- (H) Define the function $\theta(\tau)$ ($\operatorname{Re}(\tau) > 0$) by

$$\theta(\tau) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} a_{\ell} e^{-\ell\tau}. \quad (19)$$

Then, for every sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $\tau = x + iy$ with $|\arg(\tau)| > \pi/4$, $|y| \leq \pi$, we have

$$\operatorname{Re}(\theta(x + iy)) - \theta(x) \leq -Cx^{-\varepsilon}. \quad (20)$$

Note that, by the assumption (1), $L(s)$ is holomorphic on $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \alpha$. The assumption (4) is to guarantee the convergence of an integral. Then, the Meinardus theorem is stated as follows.

THEOREM 5 (Meinardus ([Me])) *Assume that the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of non-negative numbers satisfies the above conditions (1) – (4) and (H). Then, we have the following asymptotic formula for $r(E)$.*

$$r(E) = CE^{\kappa} \exp \left[\left(\frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha} \right) E^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} (A\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\zeta(\alpha + 1))^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \right] \times (1 + O(E^{-\kappa_1})),$$

where $A > 0$ is the residue of $L(s)$ at $s = \alpha$, and the constants C , κ and κ_1 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \frac{e^{L'(0)}}{\sqrt{2\pi(\alpha+1)}} (A\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\zeta(\alpha + 1))^{\frac{1-2L(0)}{2(\alpha+1)}} \\ \kappa &= \frac{L(0)-1-\alpha/2}{1+\alpha} \\ \kappa_1 &= \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} \min \left\{ \frac{C_0}{\alpha} - \frac{\delta}{4}, \frac{1}{2} - \delta \right\} \\ &\quad 0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Meinardus' method of obtaining the above theorem is based on the saddle point method, and thus it would be natural to expect that Meinardus' method would work well for more general problem. Roughly speaking, Meinardus approximates the function $\log G(\tau)$, which plays a role of the phase function, by a very simple function and then estimates the error terms by using the assumption (H).

1.4 Remarks and comments

(1) It would be clear from the statement of Theorem 2 that the spectral zeta function $Z_P(s)$ plays the same role as the Dirichlet series $L(s)$ in Theorem 5. Furthermore, the eigenvalues of P are assumed to be integers. Therefore, the situation in Theorem 2 is, at least formally, contained in that of the Meinardus theorem with $\{a_n\}$ being the multiplicities of the eigenvalues. However, there are something to be careful. One of them is the fact that the spectral zeta function could have many positive real poles, although it is assumed, in Theorem 5, that $L(s)$ has only one positive pole. Another is the condition (H) which is assumed in Theorem 5. In Theorem 2, there are no assumption similar to the condition (H). In our case, the condition (H) is a condition on the theta function ('heat trace')

$$\theta(\tau) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{\ell}\tau}, \quad \text{Re}(\tau) > 0. \quad (21)$$

Then, the cleanness assumption for the classical mechanics in Theorem 2 makes us to be able to prove the condition (H) for the function θ (see Section 3).

The assumptions in Theorem 2 exclude the existence of the common period less than 2π . This is necessary for the validity of the condition (H) for the function θ defined above. In fact, if all the eigenvalues are even integers, then we have $\theta(x + i\pi) = \theta(x)$ for $x > 0$. This shows that the condition (H) does not hold for the system having eigenvalues all of them are even integers. By Helton-Guillemin theorem ([Gu2]), if π is a common period of the classical Hamilton flow, then (assuming that P being the square root of the Laplacian), the set of cluster points of differences of eigenvalues of P , $\{\lambda_i - \lambda_j\}$, is just $2\mathbb{Z}$. Thus, a perturbation of P could have eigenvalues all of them are even integers. Thus, if π is a common period of the classical flow, then, one might need to impose some additional assumption on the eigenvalues of P to ensure the validity of the condition (H).

(2) As mentioned, we assumed that the operator P is positive definite, and hence a phenomenon like Bose-Einstein condensation does not occur. However, when the operator P has the zero eigenvalue, or when we consider a family of positive definite operators P_t with the least eigenvalues $\lambda_1(t)$ which tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$, then the number of particles in the zero energy state, or small energy states, could be large. This phenomenon is Bose-Einstein condensation, and in such a case, we need to use the full grand partition function defined as

$$\Xi(\tau, \mu) = \prod_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{\mu - \lambda_{\ell}\tau})^{-1},$$

where the parameter μ , which is called the chemical potential, should be negative. In this case, the quantity $\Omega(E)$ should be replaced by

$$\Omega(E, \mu) = \sum_{N \geq 1} \Omega(N, E) e^{N\mu}.$$

Thus, it might be interesting to find joint asymptotics of $\Omega(E, \mu)$ as $E \rightarrow \infty$ and $\mu \rightarrow 0$.

(3) It would be hard to describe the asymptotics of $\Omega(E)$ for general system. This is because, in general, there are no integral representation for the quantity $\Omega(E)$. Although there are

some representation for $\Omega(E)$ in terms of a limit of integrals (see Lemma 2.4), we need to estimate the error term. In the error term, such a quantity like the difference of eigenvalues in $\Gamma(P)$ appears. In general, estimating the level spacing is quite hard. However, when the classical system is periodic, like a Zoll surface, one might be able to use the results in [DG], [Gu1], [UZ] to find a similar asymptotic formula for $\Omega(E)$ in such a case.

Acknowledgments The author would like to thank to professors T. Matsui and S. Zelditch for their helpful comments. The author also would like to thank to the referee who pointed out some mistakes in the earlier version of the paper and gave valuable suggestions to the author.

2 Partition function for Boson Gas

In this section, we give precise definition and some properties of the number of states, $\Omega(E)$, of Boson gas and its partition function, which are, at least formally, quite well known in physics textbooks on quantum statistics ([Fu], [Kh]).

2.1 Occupation number representation

As in Introduction, let P be an elliptic, strictly positive pseudo-differential operator of order 1 on a compact connected manifold M of dimension n , and consider, for each positive integer N , the operator P^N on $L^2(M^N)$ where $M^N = M \times \cdots \times M$ (N times) defined in (2). We define the action of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_N$ on $L^2(M^N)$ by

$$(U_\sigma F)(x_1, \dots, x_N) = F(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(N)}), \\ \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N, F \in L^2(M^N), (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in M^N.$$

This action of $\mathfrak{S}_N$ on $L^2(M^N)$ commutes with the operator P^N . Let $\mathcal{H}_S^N$ and $\mathcal{H}_A^N$ be the space of symmetric and anti-symmetric functions on M^N , respectively:

$$\mathcal{H}_S^N = \{F \in L^2(M^N); U_\sigma F = F, \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_A^N = \{F \in L^2(M^N); U_\sigma F = \text{sgn}(\sigma)F, \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N\}.$$

The spaces $\mathcal{H}_S^N$ and $\mathcal{H}_A^N$ are the state space for the system of N -Boson and N -Fermion, respectively. In this paper, we consider the system of Boson. We denote the restriction of P^N on $\mathcal{H}_S^N$ by P_B^N .

Let $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \uparrow \infty$ be the sequence of eigenvalues of P . We choose an orthonormal basis $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ of $L^2(M)$ consisting of eigenfunctions of P : $P\varphi_j = \lambda_j\varphi_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$. For any multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$, we define a function $\Phi_\alpha \in C^\infty(M^N)$ by

$$\Phi_\alpha = \varphi_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_{\alpha_N}.$$

Then, the function Φ_α is an eigenfunction of P^N , and we have

$$P^N \Phi_\alpha = E \Phi_\alpha, \quad E = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_{\alpha_i}. \quad (22)$$

From this, the spectrum of P^N is easily described. But, what we need to know is the spectrum of the Bose Hamiltonian P_B^N . Let π_S^N denote the orthonormal projection onto $\mathcal{H}_S^N$:

$$\pi_S^N = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} U_\sigma.$$

Then, clearly the state space for Boson $\mathcal{H}_S^N$ spanned by the functions $\pi_S^N \Phi_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^N$. The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_N$ acts on $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}^N$ by

$$\sigma\alpha = (\alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(N)}), \quad \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N, \quad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^N.$$

LEMMA 2.1 (1) $\pi_S^N \Phi_\alpha = \pi_S^N \Phi_\beta$ if and only if β lies in the orbit of $\mathfrak{S}_N$ through α .

(2) Let $[\alpha]$ denote the orbit of $\mathfrak{S}_N$ through $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^N$, and set $\Phi_{[\alpha]} = \pi_S^N \Phi_\alpha / \|\pi_S^N \Phi_\alpha\|$. Then, $\{\Phi_{[\alpha]}\}_{[\alpha] \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^N / \mathfrak{S}_N}$ form an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}_S^N$.

Proof. First, note that we have $U_\sigma \Phi_\alpha = \Phi_{\sigma\alpha}$ for any σ and α . Now, suppose that $\beta = \mu\alpha$ for some $\mu \in \mathfrak{S}_N$. Then, we have

$$\pi_S^N \Phi_\beta = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} U_\sigma \Phi_{\mu\alpha} = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma} \Phi_{\sigma\mu\alpha} = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma} \Phi_{\sigma\alpha} = \pi_S^N \Phi_\alpha.$$

Conversely, let $\pi_S^N \Phi_\alpha = \pi_S^N \Phi_\beta$. Since $\{\Phi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^N}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(M^N)$, we have

$$\langle \pi_S^N \Phi_\alpha, \Phi_\alpha \rangle = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma} \langle \Phi_{\sigma\alpha}, \Phi_\alpha \rangle = \frac{1}{N!} \# \text{Stab}(\alpha),$$

where $\text{Stab}(\alpha)$ denotes the stabilizer of α in $\mathfrak{S}_N$. In particular, $\pi_S^N \Phi_\alpha$ is not zero, because $\# \text{Stab}(\alpha)$ is greater than or equal to one. Thus, by the assumption that $\pi_S^N \Phi_\beta = \pi_S^N \Phi_\alpha$, we have

$$\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma} \langle \Phi_{\sigma\beta}, \Phi_\alpha \rangle = \langle \pi_S^N \Phi_\beta, \Phi_\alpha \rangle = \frac{1}{N!} \# \text{Stab}(\alpha),$$

and we have $\# \text{Stab}(\alpha) \geq 1$. Thus, for some $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N$ in the sum of the above, $\langle \Phi_{\sigma\beta}, \Phi_\alpha \rangle$ is not zero, and hence $\alpha = \sigma\beta$. This shows (1). (2) follows easily from (1). ■

Since we clearly have $P_S^N \Phi_{[\alpha]} = E \Phi_{[\alpha]}$ with E given in (22), the spectrum $\text{Spec}(P_B^N)$ of P_B^N is discrete and coincides with $\text{Spec}(P^N)$. Thus, what is important is to understand the multiplicities of eigenvalues of P_B^N .

For any $E \in \text{Spec}(P_B^N)$, we set

$$C_N(E) = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^N; E = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_{\alpha_j}\}.$$

We then define

$$\Omega(N, E) := \# [C_N(E) / \mathfrak{S}_N].$$

Then, by Lemma 2.1 (2), the quantity $\Omega(N, E)$ equals the multiplicity of the eigenvalue E of the Bose Hamiltonian P_B^N .

LEMMA 2.2 For any $E \in \text{Spec}(P_B^N)$, we have

$$\Omega(N, E) = \# \left\{ (n_k)_{k=1}^\infty \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\infty; E = \sum_{k \geq 1} n_k \lambda_k, N = \sum_{k \geq 1} n_k \right\} \quad (23)$$

Proof. For each $\alpha \in C_N(E)$, we define non-negative integers $n_k(\alpha)$ by

$$n_k(\alpha) = \# \{j; \alpha_j = k\}.$$

Then, we have

$$E = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_{\alpha_j} = \sum_{k=1}^\infty n_k(\alpha) \lambda_k, \quad \sum_{k=1}^\infty n_k(\alpha) = N.$$

It is easy to see that, for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^N$, $n_k(\alpha) = n_k(\beta)$ for every k if and only if β is in the $\mathfrak{S}_N$ -orbit through α , and from this fact, we conclude the assertion. ■

The expression of $\Omega(N, E)$ in (23) is called the *occupation number representation*. By Lemma 2.2, the sum in the definition (3) of $\Omega(E)$ is a finite sum for each fixed E , if the eigenvalues of P are positive. The quantity $\Omega(E)$, with setting $\Omega(0) = 1$, is thus a function on the set $\Gamma(P)$ defined in (4). For each positive number A , the set $\Gamma(P) \cap [0, A]$ is finite because if we write $E \in \Gamma(P) \cap [0, A]$ as $E = \sum_k n_k \lambda_k$ with $N = \sum_k n_k$ as in (23), we clearly have $\lambda_1 N \leq E \leq A$. Therefore, $\Gamma(P)$ is a discrete subset in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ without finite accumulation points.

2.2 Partition function

The partition function for the number of states $\Omega(E)$ of Boson gas is defined, at least formally, by the equation (5). The function $G_P(\tau)$ always converges and has infinite product representation if P is strictly positive definite as follows.

LEMMA 2.3 The partition function $G_P(\tau)$ defined in (5) converges absolutely and locally uniformly for $\text{Re}(\tau) > 0$, and on this region, $G_P(\tau)$ has the infinite product representation (6).

Proof. Set $u_\ell(\tau) = (1 - e^{-\lambda_\ell \tau})^{-1} - 1$. Then we have

$$|u_\ell(\tau)| \leq (1 - e^{-\lambda_1 x})^{-1} e^{-\lambda_\ell x},$$

where we set $\tau = x + iy$. Since the heat trace $\theta(x) = \sum_{\ell \geq 1} e^{-\lambda_\ell x}$ converges locally uniformly for $x > 0$ (see, for example, [Gi]), the sum $\sum u_\ell(\tau)$ converges absolutely and locally uniformly for $\text{Re}(\tau) > 0$. Therefore, the infinite product in (6) converges absolutely and defines a holomorphic function on the region $\text{Re}(\tau) > 0$. Now, we compute the partial product of the infinite product in (6), by using the geometric series expansion for each term, as follows.

$$\prod_{\ell=1}^K (1 - e^{-\lambda_\ell \tau})^{-1} = \sum_{E \in \Gamma(P)} \Omega_K(E) e^{-E\tau},$$

where we set

$$\Omega_K(E) = \# \left\{ (n_k)_{k=1}^K \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^K; \sum_{k=1}^K n_k \lambda_k = E \right\}.$$

For each $L > 0$, there exists $K_L > 0$ such that $L < \lambda_{K_L+1}$. Then, it is easy to see that $\Omega(E) = \Omega_{K_L}(E)$ for each $E \in \Gamma(P)$, $E < L$. Therefore, for positive x , we have

$$\prod_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-\lambda_{\ell} x})^{-1} \geq \sum_{E \in \Gamma(P), E < L} \Omega(E) e^{-Ex}$$

for each $L > 0$. Thus the partition function $G_P(\tau)$, defined in (5), converges absolutely and locally uniformly for $\operatorname{Re}(\tau) > 0$, and satisfies

$$G_P(x) \leq \prod_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-\lambda_{\ell} x})^{-1}$$

for positive number x . Conversely, it is clear that for each $K > 0$ and $E \in \Gamma(P)$, we have

$$\prod_{\ell=1}^K (1 - e^{-\lambda_{\ell} x})^{-1} \leq G_P(x),$$

and which, with the analytic continuation, proves the lemma. $\blacksquare$

If the eigenvalues of P are integers, then we have

$$\Omega(E) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|y| \leq \pi} e^{E(x+iy)} G_P(x+iy) dy \quad (24)$$

for any positive number x . This formula is the starting point of our analysis. In general, the set $\Gamma(P)$ is not contained in the set of integers, and hence there are no such an integral representation for $\Omega(E)$. However, even if $\Gamma(P) \not\subset \mathbb{Z}$, we can approximate $\Omega(E)$ by integrals of the form (24). We shall mention about this formula, although this is not necessary for later sections.

LEMMA 2.4 *For any $x > 0$ and $T > 0$, we have the following.*

$$\left| \Omega(E) - \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T e^{E(x+iy)} G_P(x+iy) dy \right| \leq \frac{G_P(x) e^{Ex}}{T} \left(\min_{E' \in \Gamma(P), E' \neq E} |E - E'| \right)^{-1} \quad (25)$$

Proof. First of all, we note that the minimum in the right hand side in (25) exists because $\Gamma(P)$ is a discrete subset of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ without finite accumulation points. Substituting the series expansion for $G_P(x+iy)$ for the integral in the left-hand side of (25), we have

$$\frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T e^{E(x+iy)} G_P(x+iy) dy - \Omega(E) = \sum_{E' \in \Gamma(P), E' \neq E} \Omega(E') \frac{\sin(T(E - E'))}{T(E - E')} e^{(E - E')x},$$

and this proves (25). $\blacksquare$

3 Properties of the partition function

In this section, we review some facts about the spectral zeta functions defined in (11), and then, by using these facts, we derive an approximation formula for the partition function, which is a tiny generalization of a lemma in [Me], [An]. We prove the condition (H) under the periodicity assumption for the classical dynamical system.

3.1 Approximation of the partition function

It is well-known ([DG], [Sh]) that the spectral zeta function $Z_P(s)$ for the first order elliptic positive pseudo-differential operator P has the following properties.

- (1) The series $Z_P(s)$ converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > n$, and it is continued meromorphically on the whole complex plane.
- (2) All of the poles of the function $Z_P(s)$ are simple and they are given by (12). In particular, $Z_P(s)$ is holomorphic around the origin.
- (3) For each $c \in \mathbb{R}$, the spectral zeta function $Z_P(s)$ has a polynomial growth in $\operatorname{Im}(s)$ locally uniformly in $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq c$ (except on neighborhoods of poles).

By using these facts about the spectral zeta function $Z_P(s)$ we have the following.

LEMMA 3.1 *Let K_j be the real numbers defined in (13). Then, for $\tau = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 < x$, $|y| \leq x$, the logarithm of the partition function $\log G_P(\tau)$ has the following expression:*

$$\log G_P(\tau) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} K_j \tau^{-(n-j)} - Z_P(0) \log \tau + Z'_P(0) + J(\tau), \quad (26)$$

where the term $J(\tau)$ is given by

$$J(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-C_0-i\infty}^{-C_0+i\infty} \tau^{-s} Z_P(s) \zeta(s+1) \Gamma(s) ds \quad (27)$$

for any $0 < C_0 < 1$. Furthermore, for $\tau = x + iy$ with $0 < x$, $|y| \leq x$, we have $|J(\tau)| = O(x^{C_0})$. The branch of the logarithm in (26) is described in the proof.

Proof. Proof is the same as that in [Me], [An], but we review it for completeness. According to the infinite product representation (6) of the partition function $G_P(\tau)$, we can define the branch of the logarithm $\log G_P(\tau)$ by

$$\log G_P(\tau) = - \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \log(1 - e^{-\lambda_\ell \tau}),$$

where the logarithm in the right-hand side is its principal branch. For $\operatorname{Re}(\tau) > 0$ and $\sigma_0 > 0$, we have

$$e^{-\tau} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_0-i\infty}^{\sigma_0+i\infty} \tau^{-s} \Gamma(s) ds.$$

By using the above and the Taylor expansion of the logarithm,

$$-\log(1 - e^{-\lambda_\ell \tau}) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} e^{-\lambda_\ell k \tau} \quad (\operatorname{Re}(\tau) > 0), \quad (28)$$

we have

$$-\log(1 - e^{-\lambda_\ell \tau}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_0 - i\infty}^{\sigma_0 + i\infty} \lambda_\ell^{-s} \tau^{-s} \zeta(s+1) \Gamma(s) ds$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(\tau) > 0$ and $\sigma_0 > 0$. Taking $\sigma_0 > n$ and summing over $\ell \geq 1$, we have

$$\log G_P(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_0 - i\infty}^{\sigma_0 + i\infty} \tau^{-s} Z_P(s) \zeta(s+1) \Gamma(s) ds.$$

Take $0 < C_0 < 1$ and set $s = \sigma + it$ with $-C_0 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_0$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, for $\tau = x + iy$ with $0 < x, |y| \leq x$ and $s = \sigma + it$ with the above region, we have

$$|\tau^{-s}| \leq |\tau|^{-\sigma} e^{\pi|t|/4}. \quad (29)$$

It is well-known that $\zeta(1+s)$ is of order $O(|t|^{c_1})$ with a positive constant c_1 . By Corollary 2.2 in [DG], we have $|Z_P(s)| = O(|t|^{c_2})$ with a positive constant c_2 . It is also well-known that we have $|\Gamma(s)| = O(|t|^{c_3} e^{-\pi|t|/2})$ with a positive constant c_3 . Thus, we can use the residue formula to shift the integral contour to $-C_0 + it$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The function $f(s) := \tau^s Z_P(s) \zeta(s+1) \Gamma(s)$ has simple poles s with $-C_0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq \sigma$ at $s = n - j$ with $j = 0, \dots, n-1$ and a pole of order two at $s = 0$. A direct computation shows that the residue of $f(s)$ at $s = n - j$ ($j = 0, \dots, n-1$) is $K_j \tau^{-(n-j)}$ and the residue of $f(s)$ at $s = 0$ is $-Z_P(0) \log \tau + Z'_P(0)$. Thus, the residue formula gives the expression (26) with the function $J(\tau)$ given by (27) for $\tau = x + iy$ with $x > 0$ and $|y| \leq x$. Note that the integral $J(\tau)$ is absolutely convergent for this region and is of order $O(x^{C_0})$ by (29), which completes the proof. $\blacksquare$

3.2 The condition (H)

Our next purpose is to prove the condition (H) on the function $\theta(\tau)$ defined by (21) under a cleanness assumption of the classical Hamilton flow φ_t . First, we begin with a heuristic argument by using the heat trace asymptotics

$$\theta(\tau) \sim c_0 \tau^{-n} \quad (30)$$

whose validity is quite well-known at least for positive τ . If (30) would hold for $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Re}(\tau) > 0$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$, the function $\operatorname{Re} \theta(\tau) - \theta(\operatorname{Re}(\tau))$ in the condition (H) would be replaced by more simple function $c_0(\tau^{-n} - \operatorname{Re}(\tau)^{-n})$. Then, the condition (H) would be proved, at least for small $|y|$, by the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re}((x + iy)^{-n}) - x^{-n} \leq -\frac{1}{2} x^{-n} \quad (31)$$

for $0 < x \leq |y|$. However, when $\operatorname{Re}(\tau) > 0$ and $\tau \rightarrow 0$, we face singularities of the distribution $\theta(it)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, on the imaginary axis, and hence we need to take care about such singularities to prove the condition (H).

PROPOSITION 3.2 *Assume that, for each period T with $0 < T \leq \pi$ of a periodic orbit of the flow φ_t , the fixed point set of $\varphi_T : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a union of finite number of connected submanifolds $Z_1, \dots, Z_r$ and each Z_j is clean and of dimension $d_j < 2n - 1$. Then, the heat trace $\theta(\tau)$ defined by (21) satisfies the condition (H), namely, for every sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for any $\tau = x + iy$ with $x > 0$ and $x \leq |y| \leq \pi$, we have*

$$\operatorname{Re}(\theta(x + iy)) - \theta(x) \leq -Cx^{-\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. Consider the distribution μ defined by

$$\mu = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} e^{-i\lambda_{\ell}t} = \operatorname{Tr}(e^{-itP}) = \theta(it).$$

Then, it is well-known ([Ch], [DG]) that the singular support of μ is contained in the set of periods of the Hamilton flow of p . Let $T \in [-\pi, \pi]$. If T is not a period, then μ is smooth around T . Since the distribution μ is the boundary value of the function $\theta(x + iy)$ as $x \searrow 0$, and since the function $\theta(\tau)$ is holomorphic on $\operatorname{Re}(\tau) > 0$, $\theta(x + iy)$ is bounded as $x \searrow 0$ when y is close to the regular point T . Now, suppose that $0 \neq T \in [-\pi, \pi]$ is a period of the Hamilton flow. Then, according to Theorem 4.5 in [DG], there exists an interval around T in which no other periods occur, and on such an interval, there exists functions $\alpha_1(s), \dots, \alpha_r(s)$ such that

$$\mu = \sum_{j=1}^r \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-ist} e^{iT s} \alpha_j(s) ds$$

(the Fourier transform of $e^{iT \cdot} \alpha_j$ in the distribution sense) and the functions $\alpha_j(s)$ admits an asymptotic expansion of the form:

$$\alpha_j(s) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{j,k} s^{(d_j-1)/2-k}, \quad s \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where d_j is the dimension of the submanifold Z_j and it is assumed that $d_j \leq 2n - 2$. The functions $\alpha_j(s)$ are of order $|s|^{-N}$ as $s \rightarrow -\infty$ for any $N > 0$.

Then, we note that the function $\theta(\tau)$ with $\operatorname{Re}(\tau) > 0$ can be written as

$$\theta(\tau) = \sum_{j=1}^r \int e^{-\tau s} e^{iT s} \alpha_j(s) ds.$$

In fact, the right hand side of the above, which we denote $H(\tau)$, is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(\tau) > 0$ whose boundary value as a distribution is μ , and which equals that of $\theta(\tau)$. Thus, since the boundary value of the holomorphic function $\theta - H$ is zero, we have $\theta = H$ near T (see, for example, [Hö2]). We then introduce the cut-off function χ such that $\chi = 1$ on $[1, \infty)$ and $\chi = 0$ on $(-\infty, 0]$, and we set

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\tau) &= \sum_{j=1}^r [F_j(\tau) + G_j(\tau)], \\ F_j(\tau) &= \int e^{-\tau s} \chi(s) e^{iT s} \alpha_j(s) ds, \quad G_j(\tau) = \int e^{-\tau s} (1 - \chi(s)) e^{iT s} \alpha_j(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $|G_j(\tau)|$ is bounded when $x \searrow 0$ and y is close to T , where we denote $\tau = x + iy$. Changing the variable $t = xs$ and using the estimate $|\alpha_j(s)| \leq cs^{(d_j-1)/2}$, we have

$$|F_j(\tau)| \leq cx^{-(d_j-1)/2-1}.$$

Since we assumed that $d_j \leq 2n - 2$, we have $x^{-(d_j-1)/2-1} \leq cx^{1/2-n}$. Therefore, we have

$$\operatorname{Re}(\theta(x + iy)) - \theta(x) \leq |\theta(x + iy)| - \theta(x) \leq -c_0x^{-n} + cx^{1/2-n} + C$$

for some constants $c_0, c, C > 0$. Thus, the estimate in the assertion follows when y is close to the period $T \neq 0$.

It is rather easy to handle the case where y is close to zero. Note that, by the ellipticity of the operator P , the function $\Pi(x, \xi)$ on $(x, \xi) \in T^*M \setminus 0$ defined by

$$\Pi(x, \xi) = \inf\{t > 0; \varphi_t(x, \xi) = (x, \xi)\}$$

is lower semi-continuous strictly positive function on Σ . Since Σ is compact, the function Π has a minimum $t_0 > 0$ on Σ . Thus, there is an interval around zero which does not contain any positive period. Thus, we can express $\theta(\tau)$ as

$$\theta(\tau) = c_0\tau^{-n} + a(\tau), \quad |a(\tau)| = O(|\tau|^{1-n})$$

with a positive constant c_0 (see the proof of Corollary 2.2' in [DG]). Then, by (31), we have

$$\operatorname{Re}(\theta(x + iy)) - \theta(x) \leq -c_1x^{-n}(1 + o(x)),$$

which shows the asserted estimate. $\blacksquare$

PROPOSITION 3.3 *Fix a real number β such that $1 < \beta < 1 + \frac{n}{2}$. Assume that the heat trace $\theta(\tau)$ defined by (21) satisfies the condition (H) (which is explicitly stated in Proposition 3.2). Then, there exists constants $c > 0$, $C > 0$ and $\varepsilon_n > 0$ such that*

$$\left| G_P(x + iy) \exp\left[-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} K_j x^{-(n-j)}\right] \right| \leq Ce^{-cx - \varepsilon_n}$$

for $x + iy$ with $x > 0$, $x^\beta \leq |y| \leq \pi$.

Proof. As in [Me], [An], we divide the region $x^\beta \leq |y| \leq \pi$ into two parts. Note that, for the proof of the main theorem, we need the case that $0 < x$ is sufficiently small. So, we may divide it into $x^\beta \leq |y| \leq x$ and $x \leq |y| \leq \pi$. First, we consider the region $x^\beta \leq |y| \leq x$. By a direct computation using Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(x + iy) &:= \left| G_P(x + iy) \exp\left[-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} K_j x^{-(n-j)}\right] \right| \\ &\leq C \exp\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} K_j \{\operatorname{Re}(x + iy)^{-(n-j)} - x^{-(n-j)}\} + O(|\log x|)\right], \end{aligned}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant. We set $\omega = y/x$. Then, $|\omega| \leq 1$. It is easy to show that there exists constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$-c_1 x^{-(n-j)} \omega^2 \leq \operatorname{Re} (x + iy)^{-(n-j)} - x^{-(n-j)} \leq -c_2 x^{-(n-j)} \omega^2.$$

Therefore, we get

$$A(x + iy) \leq C \exp \left[-c_3 x^{-n} \omega^2 + c_4 \omega^2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x^{-(n-j)} + O(|\log x|) \right].$$

Now, we have $x^{2(\beta-1)} \leq \omega^2 \leq 1$ and $0 < n - 2(\beta - 1)$. Thus we have

$$A(x + iy) \leq C \exp[-c_5 \omega^2 x^{-n}] \leq C \exp[-c_5 x^{-n+2(\beta-1)}].$$

Therefore, setting $\varepsilon_n = n - 2(\beta - 1)$, we obtain the desired inequality. Next, consider the case where $x \leq |y| \leq \pi$. First, we note that $\operatorname{Re} \log(G_P(x + iy)) = \log |G_P(x + iy)|$. By using (28) for $\tau = x + iy$, we have

$$-\operatorname{Re} \log(1 - e^{-\lambda_\ell(x+iy)}) \leq e^{-\lambda_\ell x} \cos(\lambda_\ell y) - \log(1 - e^{-\lambda_\ell x}) - e^{-\lambda_\ell x}.$$

Summing over $\ell \geq 1$ in the above, we have

$$\log |G_P(x + iy)| \leq \operatorname{Re} \theta(x + iy) + \log G_P(x) - \theta(x).$$

Since $x \leq |y| \leq \pi$, we can use the condition (H), that is, for each sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive constant C such that, for any $\tau = x + iy$ with $x > 0$, $x \leq |y| \leq \pi$, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} (\theta(x + iy)) - \theta(x) \leq -Cx^{-\varepsilon}.$$

Hence we have

$$\log |G_P(x + iy)| \leq \log G_P(x) - Cx^{-\varepsilon}.$$

Therefore, applying Lemma 3.1 for $\tau = x$ and taking $\varepsilon < n - 2(\beta - 1)$ small enough, we have

$$A(x + iy) \leq \exp[-Cx^{-\varepsilon} + O(|\log x|)],$$

which shows the assertion. $\blacksquare$

4 Proof of the main theorem

The purpose of this section is to give proofs of the main theorems. First of all, we will give a definition of the positive number x_E appeared in the statement of Theorem 2.

4.1 The positive number x_E

Define the polynomial $p_E(x)$ with one variable by

$$p_E(x) = Ex^{n+1} - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (n-j)K_j x^j, \quad (32)$$

where the constants K_j are defined in (13) by using the residues of poles of the spectral zeta function. The polynomial p_E is defined by the spectral data and the fixed energy level E . Since $K_0 = A_0 \zeta(n+1) \Gamma(n)$, $A_0 = (2\pi)^{-n} \text{Vol}(\Sigma)$ is positive, we have $p_E(0) = -nK_0 < 0$. The coefficient of x^{n+1} is $E > 0$, and hence we have $p_E(x) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, there is a solution of the equation $p_E(x) = 0$ in the positive real axis. Now, we have the following

PROPOSITION 4.1 *For sufficiently large $E > 0$, the polynomial $p_E(x)$ has just one positive zero. We denote the zero of p_E by x_E (as in Theorem 2). Then, the asymptotics of x_E as $E \rightarrow \infty$ is given by (15).*

Proof. First of all, let $y_E > 0$ be a positive zero of the polynomial p_E . Then, we have

$$0 < E \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (n-j)|K_j|y_E^{j-n-1}.$$

It follows from this that $y_E = O(E^{-\frac{1}{n+1}})$ as $E \rightarrow \infty$. We have

$$p'_E(y_E) = n(n+1)K_0 y_E^{-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (n-j)(n+1-j)K_j y_E^{j-1}.$$

The sum in the right hand side of the above is bounded as $E \rightarrow \infty$, and the first term goes to ∞ . (Each K_j is a real number.) Therefore, we have $p'_E(y_E) > 0$ for each zero y_E of p_E for sufficiently large $E > 0$. Thus, for sufficiently large $E > 0$, the polynomial p_E has a unique positive zero $x_E > 0$. We note that

$$Ex_E^{n+1} = nK_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (n-j)x_E^j = nK_0 + O(E^{-\frac{1}{n+1}}), \quad (33)$$

which shows the asymptotics (15). $\blacksquare$

We notice that, without any assumption on the the eigenvalues of P and classical Hamilton flow, we can prove the upper bound for Ω by using Lemma 3.1 which agree with the limit formula in Corollary 3.

PROPOSITION 4.2 *We have*

$$\Omega(E) \leq cE^{\frac{Z_P(0)}{n+1}} e^{B_n E^{\frac{n}{n+1}(1+o(1))}}, \quad B_n = (n+1) \left(\frac{\text{Vol}(\Sigma)}{(2\pi n)^n} \zeta(n+1) \Gamma(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \quad (34)$$

as $E \rightarrow \infty$ with a positive constant c .

Proof. We set

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} K_j x^{-(n-j)}, \quad x > 0.$$

We take arbitrary $M > 0$. Then, by Lemma 3.1, we can find positive constants c_1, c_2 (depending on M) such that

$$c_1 x^{-a} e^{\psi(x)} \leq G_P(x) \leq c_2 x^{-a} e^{\psi(x)}$$

for any x in the interval $(0, M]$, where we set $a = Z_P(0)$. Thus, for any $E \in \Gamma(P)$ and $0 < x \leq M$, we have

$$\Omega(E) \leq c_2 x^{-a} e^{\psi(x) + Ex}.$$

We take a sufficiently large positive number E_o such that $x_E < M$ for any $E \geq E_o$, and, for $E \geq E_o$, we set $x = x_E$, so that the function $\psi(x) + Ex$ takes its minimum there. Then, a simple computation with (15) shows

$$\psi(x_E) + Ex_E = B_n E^{\frac{n}{n+1}} (1 + O(E^{-\frac{1}{n+1}})),$$

and which completes the proof. $\blacksquare$

4.2 Proof of Theorem 1

We use Hardy-Ramanujan Tauberian theorem ([HR1], Theorem A) which asserts the following: Suppose the sequences $\{E_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$, $\{a_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ of non-negative real numbers and the constants $A > 0$, $\alpha > 0$ satisfy the following:

- (1) $E_m > E_{m-1}$, $E_m \rightarrow \infty$;
- (2) $E_m/E_{m-1} \rightarrow 1$;
- (3) $G(s) := \sum a_m e^{-E_m s}$ is convergent for $s > 0$;
- (4) $G(s) = \exp[As^{-\alpha}(\log(1/s))^{-\beta}(1 + o(1))]$ as $s \rightarrow 0$, where β is a real number.

Then we have

$$A_n := \sum_{m=1}^n a_m = \exp[BE_n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}(\log E_n)^{-\beta/(1+\alpha)}(1 + o(1))],$$

where B is given by

$$B = A^{1/(1+\alpha)} \alpha^{-\alpha/(1+\alpha)} (1 + \alpha)^{1+\beta/(1+\alpha)}.$$

In our situation, we denote $\Gamma(P) = \{0 = E_0 < E_1 < E_2 < \dots\}$. Then we have $E_m - E_{m-1} \leq \lambda_1$, and hence $E_m/E_{m-1} \rightarrow 1$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the condition (1) and (2) are satisfied. The non-negative number a_m in the condition (3) corresponds to the number of states $\Omega(E_m)$ of Boson gas, and hence (3) follows from Lemma 2.3. The condition (4) is deduced from Lemma 3.1 with $\alpha = n$, $\beta = 0$ and $A = K_0 = (2\pi)^{-n} \text{Vol}(\Sigma) \zeta(n+1) \Gamma(n)$. Thus, the formula (8) follows from the Hardy-Ramanujan Tauberian theorem with $B = B_n$.

4.3 Proof of Theorem 2

First of all, we fix $0 < \mu < \frac{1}{n}$ ($0 < \mu < 1/2$ for $n = 1$) and choose $0 < C_0 < 1$, $1 < \beta < 1 + \frac{n}{2}$ as follows. For $n = 1$, we set $\beta = \frac{11}{8} + \frac{\mu}{4}$ and $C_0 = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{3}{4}\mu$. For $n = 2$, we set $\beta = \frac{7}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\mu$ and $C_0 = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{2}\mu$. For $n = 1, 2$, we set $\delta = \frac{1}{2} - \mu$. For $n \geq 3$, we take δ satisfying $0 < \delta < \min\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{4}{n}, \frac{4}{3}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}), 4(\frac{1}{n} - \mu)\}$ and set $\beta = 1 + \frac{n}{2}(1 - \frac{\delta}{2})$ and $C_0 = n(\mu + \frac{\delta}{4})$. In all cases, the positive numbers β , δ and C_0 satisfy $0 < \delta < \min\{1/2, 4/n\}$, $1 + \frac{n}{3} < \beta = 1 + \frac{n}{2}(1 - \frac{\delta}{2}) < 1 + \frac{n}{2}$, $0 < C_0 < 1$ and $\mu = \min\{\frac{1}{2} - \delta, \frac{C_0}{n} - \frac{\delta}{4}\}$.

From now on, we assume that the eigenvalues of P are integers. Then, we have $\Gamma(P) \subset \mathbb{Z}$, and hence we can use the integral formula (24) for the number of states $\Omega(E)$. For every $x > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega(E) &= I_1(E) + R_1(E), \\ I_1(E) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|y| \leq x^\beta} e^{E(x+iy)} G_P(x+iy) dy, \\ R_1(E) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{x^\beta \leq |y| \leq \pi} e^{E(x+iy)} G_P(x+iy) dy,\end{aligned}\tag{35}$$

where β is a fixed constant as above. We shall determine $x > 0$ by using the saddle point argument for the integral $I_1(E)$. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$I_1(E) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|y| \leq x^\beta} e^{E\tau + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} K_j \tau^{j-n}} e^{Z'_P(0) - Z_P(0) \log \tau + J(\tau)} dy, \quad \tau = x + iy.$$

Then, in the integrand of the above, we think $E\tau + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} K_j \tau^{j-n}$ as a phase function. The critical point of this phase function close to $\text{Im}(\tau) = 0$ is given by $\tau = x_E$. Thus, we choose $x = x_E$ in (35). First, let us consider the integral $I_1(E)$ in (35). As in [An], we write $m_E = Ex_E$. Then, by Lemma 3.1 and the change of variable $\omega = y/x_E$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}I_1(E) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{m_E + Z'_P(0) + (1 - Z_P(0)) \log x_E} \\ &\quad \times \int_{|\omega| \leq x_E^{\beta-1}} e^{im_E \omega + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} K_j x_E^{-(n-j)} (1+i\omega)^{-(n-j)} - Z_P(0) \log(1+i\omega) + O(m_E^{-C_0/n})} d\omega,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $x_E = O(E^{-\frac{1}{n+1}}) = O(m_E^{-1/n})$. By using the formula $(1+i\omega)^{-\alpha} = 1 - i\alpha\omega - \frac{1}{2}\alpha(\alpha+1)\omega^2 + O(|\omega|^3)$, and

$$m_E = Ex_E = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (n-j) K_j x_E^{-(n-j)},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}I_1(E) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{x_E^{-n} f(x_E) + Z'_P(0) + (1 - Z_P(0)) \log x_E} \times I_2(E), \\ I_2(E) &= \int_{|\omega| \leq x_E^{\beta-1}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\eta_n(E)\omega^2 - Z_P(0) \log(1+i\omega) + O(m_E^{-C_0/n}) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} K_j x_E^{-(n-j)} O(|\omega|^3)} d\omega, \\ \eta_n(E) &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (n-j)(n-j+1) K_j x_E^{-(n-j)},\end{aligned}\tag{36}$$

where the function f is defined in (16). By the definition of the number x_E and the function f , one has

$$x_E^{-n} f(x_E) = E x_E + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} K_j x_E^{-(n-j)}.$$

Now, it is easy to see that $x_E^{-(n-j)} |\omega|^3 = O(m_E^{1-\frac{3}{n}(\beta-1)})$ for every $j = 0, \dots, n-1$. We note that $1 - \frac{3}{n}(\beta-1) < 0$. Near $\omega = 0$, obviously we have $\log(1+i\omega) = O(|\omega|)$, and, since $\beta < 1 + \frac{n}{2}$, we have $|\omega| = O(m_E^{-\frac{1}{n}(\beta-1)}) = O(m_E^{1-\frac{3}{n}(\beta-1)})$. Thus, if we set $\mu_1 = \min\{\frac{3}{n}(\beta-1) - 1, C_0/n\}$, we obtain

$$I_2(E) = \int_{|\omega| \leq x_E^{\beta-1}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\eta_n(E)\omega^2 + O(m_E^{-\mu_1})} d\omega = A(E) + R_2(E),$$

where we set

$$A(E) = \int_{|\omega| \leq x_E^{\beta-1}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\eta_n(E)\omega^2} d\omega,$$

$$R_2(E) = \int_{|\omega| \leq x_E^{\beta-1}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\eta_n(E)\omega^2} \left(e^{O(m_E^{-\mu_1})} - 1 \right) d\omega.$$

By using the definition of $\eta_n(E)$ and (33), we have

$$m_E^{-1}\eta_n(E) = (n+1) + O(m_E^{-1/n}). \quad (37)$$

Thus, for sufficiently large $E > 0$, $\eta_n(E) > 0$. Therefore, we have

$$|R_2(E)| = O(m_E^{-\mu_1 - (\beta-1)/n}).$$

Then, we easily have

$$A(E) = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\eta_n(E)}} + O(m_E^{-1/2} e^{-C m_E^{1-2(\beta-1)/n}}).$$

For $\eta_n(E)$, by using (37), we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta_n(E)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(n+1)m_E}} (1 + O(m_E^{-1/n})).$$

Therefore, since $\beta = 1 + \frac{n}{2}(1 - \frac{\delta}{2})$ with $0 < \delta < \min\{1/2, 4/n\}$ and

$$\mu = \min\left\{\frac{1}{2} - \delta, \frac{C_0}{n} - \frac{\delta}{4}\right\},$$

we obtain

$$I_2(E) = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{(n+1)m_E}} (1 + O(m_E^{-\mu})).$$

Inserting the definition $m_E = E x_E$, and using (15), we obtain

$$I_2(E) = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{n+1}} \left(\frac{1}{nK_0} \right)^{1/2(n+1)} E^{-\frac{n}{2(n+1)}} (1 + O(E^{-\frac{n\mu}{n+1}}))$$

By (36), we conclude, for the integral $I_1(E)$, that

$$I_1(E) = \frac{e^{x_E^{-n} f(x_E)}}{\sqrt{2\pi(n+1)}} \left(\frac{1}{nK_0} \right)^{1/2(n+1)} E^{-\frac{n}{2(n+1)}} e^{Z'_P(0)} x_E^{1-Z_P(0)} (1 + O(E^{-\frac{n\mu}{n+1}})).$$

Inserting, in the above, the formula

$$x_E^{1-Z_P(0)} = \left(\frac{nK_0}{E} \right)^{\frac{1-Z_P(0)}{n+1}} (1 + O(E^{-\frac{1}{n+1}})),$$

which is deduced from (15), we get the right hand side of (14). Next, we have to consider the integral $R_1(E)$ in (35). By Proposition 3.3, we have

$$|R_1(E)| \leq C e^{-C_n x_E^{-\varepsilon_n}} \exp[Ex_E + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} K_j x_E^{-(n-j)}] = C e^{-C_n x_E^{-\varepsilon_n}} e^{x_E^{-n} f(x_E)}$$

with positive constants C , C_n , ε_n . Since the term $e^{-C_n x_E^{-\varepsilon_n}}$ decays exponentially and since the term $e^{x_E^{-n} f(x_E)}$ is the same as the exponential term in the asymptotics of the integral $I_1(E)$, we conclude (14). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

References

- [Ac] A. A. Actor, Infinite products, partition functions, and the Meinardus theorem, *J. Math. Phys.* **35** (1994), 5749–5764.
- [An] G. E. Andrews, “The Theory of Partitions”, Encyclopedia of Math. and its Appl., Addison-Wesley, 1976.
- [BKZ] A. A. Bytsenko, K. Kirsten and S. Zerbini, Meinardus theorem and the asymptotic form of the quantum p -brane state density, *Phys. Lett. B* **304** (1993), 235–238.
- [CdV] Y. Colin de Verdière, Sur le spectre des opérateurs elliptiques a bicaractéristiques toutes periodiques, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* **54** (1979), 508–522.
- [Ch] J. Chazarain, Formule de Poisson pour les variétés riemanniennes, *Invent. Math.* **24** (1974), 65–82.
- [DG] J. J. Duistermaat and V. Guillemin, The spectrum of positive elliptic operators and periodic bicharacteristics, *Invent. Math.* **29** (1975), 39–79.
- [Ec] B. Eckhardt, Eigenvalue statistics in quantum ideal gases. Emerging applications of number theory (Minneapolis, MN, 1996), IMA Vol. Math. Appl., 109, 187–199, Springer, New York, 1999.
- [EL] P. Erdős and J. Lehner, The distribution of the number of summands in the partitions of a positive integer, *Duke Math. J.* **8** (1941), 335–345.

- [EORBZ] E. Elizalde, S. D. Odintsov, A. Romeo, A. A. Bytsenko and S. Zerbini, “Zeta Regularization Techniques with Applications”, World Scientific, 1994.
- [Fu] S. Fujita, “Statistical and Thermal Physics, Part II”, Robert E. Krieger Publ. Co., Florida, 1986.
- [Gi] P. B. Gilkey, “Invariance Theory, the Heat Equation, and the Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem”, Second Ed., CRC Press, 1995.
- [Gu1] V. Guillemin, Band Asymptotics in Two Dimension, *Adv. in Math.* **42** (1981), 248–282.
- [Gu2] V. Guillemin, Lectures on spectral theory of elliptic operators, *Duke Math. J.* **44**, no. 3 (1977), 485–517.
- [Hö1] L. Hörmander, The spectral function of an elliptic operator, *Acta Math.* **121** (1968), 193–218.
- [Hö2] L. Hörmander, “The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators, I”, Second Ed., Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [HR1] G. H. Hardy and S. Ramanujan, Asymptotic formulae for the distribution of integers of various types. (A problem in the analytic theory of numbers.). (English) *Lond. M. S. Proc.* (2) **16** (1916) 112–132.
- [HR2] G. H. Hardy and S. Ramanujan, Asymptotic formulae in combinatory analysis, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2) **17** (1918), 75–115.
- [Kh] A. Y. Khinchin, “Mathematical Foundation of Quantum Statistics”, Dover, 1998 (First ed. 1960).
- [Kn] K. Knopp, Asymptotische Formeln der additiven Zahlentheorie, *Schriften Königsberg* 2 (1925), 45–74.
- [Ra] H. Rademacher, On the partition function $p(n)$, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2) **43** (1937), 241–254.
- [Ri1] B. Richmond, Asymptotic Relation for Partitions, *J. Number Theory* **7** (1975), 389–405.
- [Ri2] B. Richmond, Asymptotic results for partitions (I) and the distribution of certain integers, *J. Number Theory*, **8** (1976), 372–389.
- [Me] G. Meinardus, Asymptotische Aussagen über Partitionen, *Math. Z.* **59** (1954), 388–398.
- [Sh] M. A. Shubin, “Pseudodifferential Operators and Spectral Theory”, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [TZ] T. Tate and S. Zelditch, Lattice path combinatorics and asymptotics of multiplicities of weights in tensor power, *J. Funct. Anal.* **217** (2004), 402–447.

- [UZ] A. Uribe and S. Zelditch, Spectral statistics on Zoll surfaces, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **154** (1993), 313–346.